



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/966,678	09/28/2001	John R. Fredlund	82616SLP	5488
1	7590 02/14/2006		EXAMINER	
Thomas H. Close			SCHUBERT, KEVIN R	
Patent Legal S	taff			
Eastman Kodak Company			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
343 State Street			2137	
Rochester, NY 14650-2201			DATE MAILED: 02/14/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/966,678	FREDLUND ET AL.				
			Examiner	Art Unit			
			Kevin Schubert	2137			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any (ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm period for reply is specified above, the maximum st re to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ALLING DAT s of 37 CFR 1.136 nunication. atutory period will will, by statute, c	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO (a). In no event, however, may a reply be to apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror ause the application to become ABANDON	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1) 🛛	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 28 Jan	uary 2006.				
, —	This action is FINAL . 2b) ▼ This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-43</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
·	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-43</u> are subject to restricti	on and/or ele	ection requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
٥,,,	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen	t(s)						
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) 🔲 Interview Summar	v (PTO-413)			
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F		Paper No(s)/Mail [Date			
. —	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or No(s)/Mail Date	PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)			

5

10

15

20

25

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-43 have been considered. An Election of Species Requirement is present.

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to two patentably distinct species of the claimed invention which differ based on whether a digital still image is transmitted to a remote location. The two patentably distinct species are 1) a method and system of authentication for a digital still image in which the digital still image is not transmitted to a remote location and 2) a method and system of authentication for a digital still image in which the digital still image is transmitted to a remote location.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Currently, claims 12-15 and 30-32 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Conclusion

5

10

15

Application/Control Number: 09/966,678

Art Unit: 2137

This action is made non-final. A shortened statutory period for response is set to expire one

month (not less than 30 days) from the mail date of this letter. Failure to respond within the period for

response will result in ABANDONMENT of the application (see 35 U.S.C. 133, MPEP 710.02, 710.02(b)).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

Page 3

be directed to Kevin Schubert whose telephone number is (571) 272-4239. The examiner can normally

be reached on M-F 7:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Emmanuel Moise can be reached on (571) 272-3865. The fax phone number for the organization where

this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from

either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through

Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC)

at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KS

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER